



Independent Procurement Review Report

Why We Did This Review

In accordance with Atlanta City Charter Chapter 6, Section 2.603, our office is authorized to review all solicitations with an aggregate value of \$1,000,000 or greater, seeking approval by the Atlanta City Council, for file completeness, conflicts of interest, and other areas of perceived deficiency.

Solicitation#:	SS-S-1210262
Estimated Dollar Amount:	\$6,378,390
Type of Procurement:	Sole Source
Contract Description:	E-911 Viper & ESINET Upgrade
Requesting Department:	Atlanta Police Department
All Proponents:	AT&T Corp
DOP Responsive Proponents:	N/A
Recommended Awardee:	AT&T Corp

TABLE OF FINDINGS

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
Evaluation Team	DOP procedures require evaluators to possess the necessary and appropriate experience needed to evaluate the proposals or offerors submitted to the city.	N/A	N/A
Solicitation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bids shall only be evaluated on requirements and evaluation criteria outlined in the formal solicitation (DOP SOP 4.3.6.(E)(3)). Having selection criteria established in the solicitation can help prevent bid manipulation. Evaluation criteria that are too vague or subjective can allow for manipulation of the scores 	N/A	N/A
Advertisement/ Addenda	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changing the solicitation criteria to favor a particular proponent is a red flag of potential bid rigging (International Anti-Corruption Resource Center). Too many addenda could indicate unclear specifications or unclear scope of work, which could also favor a particular proponent. 	N/A.	N/A
Submittal	The city code provides that the city shall select no less than three submittals solicited from an RFP that it deems as the most responsible and responsive; provided, however, that if three or fewer offerors respond, the requirement shall not apply (City Code Sec. 2-1189).	N/A	N/A

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
Responsive Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DOP procedures require findings to be recorded on a responsive checklist which identifies specific submittal requirements for the project and identifies a bidder's compliance with those required documents. Unclear or inconsistent responsiveness determinations could be a red flag of bid manipulation. 	N/A	N/A
Conflict of Interest	The city's standards of conduct prohibit employees from having financial conflicts of interests. Contracts must be awarded and administered free from improper influence or the appearance of impropriety.	No findings identified	N/A
Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DOP procedures require procurement staff to compile the evaluation scores, including those from risk management and contract compliance. Public procurement practice states that any arithmetical errors should be corrected, and scores should be recorded in grids/matrices (NIGP). According to the International Anti-Corruption Resource Center, bids that are too close together (less than 1%) or too far apart (more than 20%) could be indicators of collusive bidding. Not applicable for RFPs. 	N/A	N/A
Cancellation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Government Accountability Office states that the use of standard language such as "in the best interest of the city" without a specific justification for cancellation could be a fraud indicator. Transparency International states that effective record-keeping of decisions and reasons for cancellation promotes accountability and transparency. 	N/A	N/A
Award	<p>A contract file should include all project items, to confirm that each phase of the procurement was facilitated appropriately and audit-ready (DOP SOP Sec. 3.18)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Procurement request form from user agency Department memo to CPO detailing the efforts taken to determine sole provider Memo on company letterhead from recommended consultant or contractor attesting to sole proprietorship when applicable CPO's written determination/approval for sole source procurement 	The department failed to provide DOP a completed copy of the transmittal form and an approved requisition, as required by DOP.	<p>DOP Response Requisition Response: At the time of submission, the requisition from the User Agency/Department was not available because the funding paper was going through legislation. It has since passed.</p> <p>Transmittal Form Response: The User</p>

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Original IIREA forms • Statement of work and corresponding quotes • Insurance (if applicable) • Approved requisition • Conflict of interest form(City code Sec. 2-1214) • SAM verification • Authority to Transact Business in Georgia(DOP SOP Sec. 4.7) 		<p>Agency/Department mistakenly left off the Transmittal form in their trigger package and the form has been requested and the User Agency/Department will submit the form today. Transmittal form was submitted to the IPRO team.</p>