

CITY OF ATLANTA

Office of the Inspector General Shannon K. Manigault Inspector General

inspectorgeneral@atlantaga.gov

Why We Did This Review

In accordance with Atlanta City Charter Chapter 6, Section 2.603, our office is authorized to review all solicitations with an aggregate value of \$1,000,000 or greater, seeking approval by the Atlanta City Council, for file completeness, conflicts of interest, and other areas of perceived deficiency.

September 28, 2021

Independent Procurement Review Report

Solicitation#	1210217	
Estimated Dollar Amount:	\$12,851,000.00	
Type of Procurement:	Invitation for Bid	
Contract Description:	East Area Water Quality Control Facility (EAQF) Improvements	
Requesting Department:	Department of Watershed Management	
All Proponents:	Archer Western Construction, LLC Heavy Constructors, Inc. Lakeshore Engineering, LLC Reeves Young	
DOP Responsive Proponents:	Archer Western Construction, LLC Heavy Constructors, Inc. Lakeshore Engineering, LLC Reeves Young	
Recommended Awardee:	Lakeshore Engineering, LLC.	

TABLE OF FINDINGS

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
Evaluation Team	DOP procedures require evaluators to possess the necessary and appropriate experience needed to evaluate the proposals or offerors submitted to the city.	The user agency provided DOP with documentation regarding evaluation team members so that DOP could evaluate those members for responsibility. However, the CPO did not indicate on the CPO evaluators memorandum if the evaluators were approved or rejected.	The evaluators on said solicitation were reviewed and approved by the CPO. The approval was signed by the CPO however, by oversight, the yes box was not checked.
Solicitation	 Bids shall only be evaluated on requirements and evaluation criteria outlined in the formal solicitation (DOP SOP 4.3.6.(E)(3). Having selection criteria established in the solicitation can help prevent bid manipulation. Evaluation criteria that are too vague or subjective can allow for manipulation of the scores 	No findings identified	N/A
Advertisement/ Addenda	 Changing the solicitation criteria to favor a particular proponent is a red flag of potential bid rigging (International Anti-Corruption Resource Center). Too many addenda could indicate unclear specifications or unclear scope of work, which could also favor a particular proponent. 	DOP issued six addenda	No response required

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
Submittal	The city code provides that the city shall select no less than three submittals solicited from an RFP that it deems as the most responsible and responsive; provided, however, that if three or fewer offerors respond, the requirement shall not apply (City Code Sec. 2-1189).	No findings identified	N/A
Responsive Review	 DOP procedures require findings to be recorded on a responsive checklist which identifies specific submittal requirements for the project and identifies a bidder's compliance with those required documents. Unclear or inconsistent responsiveness determinations could be a red flag of bid manipulation. 	No findings identified	N/A
Conflict of Interest	The city's standards of conduct prohibit employees from having financial conflicts of interests. Contracts must be awarded and administered free from improper influence or the appearance of impropriety.	No findings identified	N/A
Evaluation	 DOP procedures require procurement staff to compile the evaluation scores, including those from risk management and contract compliance. Public procurement practice states that any arithmetical errors should be corrected, and scores should be recorded in grids/matrices (NIGP). According to the International Anti-Corruption Resource Center, bids that are too close together (less than 1%) or too far apart (more than 20%) could be indicators of collusive bidding. Not applicable for RFPs. 	No findings identified	N/A
Cancellation	 The Government Accountability Office states that the use of standard language such as "in the best interest of the city" without a specific justification for cancellation could be a fraud indicator. Transparency International states that effective record-keeping of decisions and reasons for cancellation promotes accountability and transparency. 	No findings identified	N/A
Award	A contract file should include all project items, to confirm that each phase of the procurement was facilitated appropriately and audit-ready (DOP SOP Sec. 3.18)	No findings identified	N/A