

Why We Did This Review

In accordance with Atlanta City Charter Chapter 6, Section 2.603, our office is authorized to review all solicitations with an aggregate value of \$1,000,000 or greater, seeking approval by the Atlanta City Council, for file completeness, conflicts of interest, and other areas of perceived deficiency.

Independent Procurement Review Report

Solicitation#	IFB-C-1200349	
Estimated Dollar Amount:	\$4,400,000	
Type of Procurement:	Invitation for Bid	
Contract Description:	West Economy Parking Lot Water Relocations	
Requesting Department:	Department of Aviation	
All Proponents:	Astra Grading & Pipe LLC GS Construction, Inc. Helix Group, Inc. Kiewit Infrastructure South Co Lewis Contracting Services, LLC Randolph & Company, Inc Rockdale Pipeline, Inc Site Engineering, Inc The Corbett Group, LLC	
DOP Responsive Proponents:	Astra Grading & Pipe, LLC GS Construction, Inc. The Corbett Group, LLC	
Recommended Awardee:	The Corbett Group, LLC	

TABLE OF FINDINGS

TABLE OF FINDINGS							
Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response				
Evaluation Team	DOP procedures require evaluators to possess the necessary and appropriate experience needed to evaluate the proposals or offerors submitted to the city.	No findings identified	No response needed				
Solicitation	 Bids shall only be evaluated on requirements and evaluation criteria outlined in the formal solicitation (DOP SOP 4.3.6.(E)(3). Having selection criteria established in the solicitation can help prevent bid manipulation. Evaluation criteria that are too vague or subjective can allow for manipulation of the scores 	No findings identified	No response needed				
Advertisement/ Addenda	 Changing the solicitation criteria to favor a particular proponent is a red flag of potential bid rigging (International Anti-Corruption Resource Center). Too many addenda could indicate unclear specifications or unclear scope of work, which could also favor a particular proponent. 	DOP issued six addenda for this solicitation.	No response needed				

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
Submittal	The city code provides that the city shall select no less than three submittals solicited from an RFP that it deems as the most responsible and responsive; provided, however, that if three or fewer offerors respond, the requirement shall not apply (City Code Sec. 2-1189).	No findings identified	No response needed
Review	 DOP procedures require findings to be recorded on a responsive checklist which identifies specific submittal requirements for the project and identifies a bidder's compliance with those required documents. Unclear or inconsistent responsiveness determinations could be a red flag of bid manipulation. 	DOP deemed six of nine bidders nonresponsive. We concur with these determinations, but also identified deficiencies with one of the three submittals deemed responsive: The bidder provided a completed Form 5 that was notarized on a different date than the date the authorized representative signed. This bidder also did not indicate "over" as required on Form I, "Experience Statement", when projects exceeded the projected budget for 33 projects.	DOP Response Form 5: Acknowledgement of Addenda was waived by the CPO as a minor technicality because ATLCloud requires all vendors to acknowledge all addenda before they can submit their bids. Additionally, the forms have since been revised removing the 'acknowledgment of addenda' for the same reason. Experience statement: DOA waived the lack of indication as a minor technicality because the vendor indicated what the initial budget was in relation to the final budget of the projects listed.
Conflict of Interest	The city's standards of conduct prohibit employees from having financial conflicts of interests. Contracts must be awarded and administered free from improper influence or the appearance of impropriety.	No findings identified	No response needed

Review Area	Risk/Criteria	Results	DOP Response
Evaluation	DOP procedures require procurement staff to compile the evaluation scores, including those from risk management and contract compliance.	The bid spread is 196%.	No response needed
	 Public procurement practice states that any arithmetical errors should be corrected, and scores should be recorded in grids/matrices (NIGP). 		
	 According to the International Anti- Corruption Resource Center, bids that are too close together (less than 1%) or too far apart (more than 20%) could be indicators of collusive bidding. Not applicable for RFPs. 		
Cancellation	The Government Accountability Office states that the use of standard language such as "in the best interest of the city" without a specific justification for cancellation could be a fraud indicator.	N/A	N/A
	 Transparency International states that effective record-keeping of decisions and reasons for cancellation promotes accountability and transparency. 		
Award	A contract file should include all project items, to confirm that each phase of the procurement was facilitated appropriately and audit-ready (DOP SOP Sec. 3.18)	No findings identified	No response needed